CUSC Panel

Friday 26 January 2024

Online Meeting via Teams

WELCOME

Approval of Panel Minutes

Approval of Panel Minutes from the Meeting held
06 December 2023 and 15 December 2023

Action Log

Chair’s Update

Authority Decisions and Update (as at 18 January 2024)

Decisions Received since last Panel meeting

- CMP425 'Billing Demand Transmission Residual By Site'
- CMP298 'Updating the Statement of Works process to facilitate aggregated assessment of relevant and collectively relevant embedded generation'

Decisions Pending

Modification	Final Modification Report Received	Expected Decision Date
CMP330&CMP374 'Allowing new Transmission Connected parties to build Connection Assets greater than 2km in length and Extending contestability for Transmission Connections'	10/08/2023	08/03/2024
CMP344 'Clarification of Transmission Licensee revenue recovery and the treatment of revenue adjustments in the Charging Methodology'	08/02/2023	26 January 2024 (previously 08 December 2023)
CMP392 'Transparency and legal certainty as to the calculation of TNUoS in conformance with the Limiting Regulation'	13/10/2023	31/01/2024
CMP398 'GC0156 Cost Recovery mechanism for CUSC Parties'	11/07/2023	30/01/2024
CMP408 'Allowing consideration of a different notice period for BSUoS tariff settings'	13/10/2023	TBC
CMP412 'CMP398 Consequential Charging Modification'	11/07/2023	30/01/2024
CMP414 'CMP330/CMP374 Consequential Modification'	10/08/2023	08/03/2024
CMP415 'Amending the Fixed Price Period from 6 to 12 months'	13/10/2023	TBC

Received Final Modification Reports since last Panel Meeting

Modification	Final Modification Report Received	Expected Decision Date
CMP396 'Re-introduction Of BSUoS on Interconnector Lead Parties'	05/01/2024	TBC
CMP411 'Introduction of Anticipatory Investment (AI) within the Section 14 charging methodologies'	05/01/2024	TBC

The Authority's publication on decisions can be found on their website below:
https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications/code-modificationmodification-proposals-ofgem-decision-expected-publication-dates-timetable

CMP428: User Commitment liabilities for Onshore Transmission circuits in the Holistic Network Design

Nitin Prajapati, ESO

Critical Friend Feedback – CMP428

Code Administrator comments	Amendments made by the Proposer
Provided feedback around presentation of legal text Provided feedback around the potential governance routes to best meet the timeline requirement Minor rewording of issue Clarification on whether legal text had been through prior industry engagement	Proposer accepted all amendments made by the Code Administrator

Background

- The Holistic Network Design (HND) was published in July 2022 to facilitate a more coordinated approach to offshore wind connections.
- The Authority then published an asset classification decision, classifying HND assets as either onshore transmission, radial offshore transmission or non radial offshore transmission.
- CMP426 was raised in November to propose the TNUoS charges applicable for onshore transmission circuits in the HND and the this modification (CMP428) considers onshore transmission circuits from a User Commitment perspective.
- Onshore transmission delivers wider system benefit to transport electricity from a congested region behind that boundary onshore to other parts of the onshore system with a demand bias.
- CUSC Section 11 outlines the definition of Attributable Works as follows:

"**Attributable Works**"	those components of the **Construction Works** which are required (a) to connect a **Power Station** or **Interconnector** which is to be connected at a **Connection Site** to the nearest suitable **MITS Node**; or (b) in respect of an **Embedded Power Station** from the relevant **Grid Supply Point** to the nearest suitable **MITS Node** (and in any case above where the **Construction Works** include a **Transmission** substation that once constructed will become the **MITS Node**, the **Attributable Works** will include such **Transmission** substation) and which in relation to a particular **User** are as specified in its **Construction Agreement**;

Defect/Methodology Challenge

- The current definition of Attributable Works would lead to certain onshore transmission circuits in the HND being classed as Attributable Works.

- This would result in Generators connected to onshore transmission circuits in the HND being responsible for liabilities associated with these circuits which deliver wider system benefit.

- The purpose of onshore transmission circuits in the HND are to reinforce the onshore network and therefore deliver wider system benefit, so applying the current definition would mean unjustifiable and significant financial liabilities for certain generators in the HND.

- This would not be cost reflective as developers would be securing works associated with onshore transmission circuits which serve a broader purpose for wider users.

- Therefore, a methodology change is required to ensure the User Commitment liabilities for generators connected to onshore transmission circuits in the HND are cost reflective.

Solution

- User Commitment liabilities for onshore transmission circuits in the HND or future iterations of the HND will not be classed as Attributable Works.

- To enable this, it is proposed the Attributable Works definition in CUSC Section 11 is amended to create an exception for works deemed by the Authority to be wider works.

- It is suggested the Attributable Works definitions is amended as per the red text below:

    - ‘those components of the Construction Works which are required (a) to connect a Power Station or Interconnector which is to be connected at a Connection Site to the nearest suitable MITS Node or (b) in respect of an Embedded Power Station from the relevant Grid Supply Point to the nearest suitable MITS Node (and in any case above where the Construction Works include a Transmission substation that once constructed will become the MITS Node, the Attributable Works will include such Transmission substation) and which in relation to a particular User are as specified in its Construction Agreement; but excluding in each case any [Excepted Works];’

- A new definition would then be created in CUSC Section 11 for ‘Excepted Works’ as follows.

    - ‘Any Construction Works which have designated not to be Attributable Works for the purposes of CUSC by the Authority.’

- This would ensure onshore transmission circuits in the HND or future iterations of the HND are not classified as Attributable Works.

Benefits of Solution

- The purpose of the circuit is reflected in the User Commitment methodology, helping with cost reflectivity.
- The principles outlined in this solution compliment CMP426, to provide consistency in approach.
- Future-proofs the methodology for any circuits designated not to be Attributable Works by the Authority.
- Simple to implement.

Governance Route Considerations

Within the modification proposal we have recommended a standard governance modification to proceed to Code Administrator Consultation. To ensure efficiency in the process and effective utilisation of industry resources, the following options could be considered:

Option 1 – Modification going straight to Code Administrator Consultation (CAC)

- As the solution and legal text are defined and detailed.

Option 2 – Utilise CMP426 Workgroup ahead of going to CAC

- Utilise CMP426 Workgroup on 05 February to sense check the solution ahead of going to CAC 13 February 2024.

Option 3 – Utilise the Workgroups for CMP426 to discuss modification

- CMP426 considers TNUoS Charging and CMP428 User Commitment arrangements, but both consider the treatment of onshore transmission circuits in the HND and the solutions in both proposals compliment each other.
- Therefore it could be beneficial to discuss them in the same Workgroup.

The proposer suggests **Option 2** is preferred as it provides a good balance between sense checking/discussing the modification and utilising the industry resources effectively.

Any Questions?

Timeline for CMP428 – Proposed Timeline – *Code Administrator Consultation*

Milestone	Date
Modification presented to Panel	26 January 2024
Code Administrator Consultation (15 working days)	13 February 2024 to 05 March 2024
Draft Final Modification Report (DFMR) issued to Panel (5 working days)	14 March 2024
Panel undertake DFMR recommendation vote	22 March 2024
Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly	26 March 2024 to 04 April 2024
Final Modification Report issued to Ofgem	08 April 2024
Ofgem decision	Ideally by 31 May 2024
Implementation Date	14 June 2024

CMP428 – the asks of Panel

- **AGREE** that this Modification should follow Standard Governance (Ofgem decision) rather than the Self-Governance Criteria (Panel decision)

- **AGREE** that this Modification should proceed to Code Administrator Consultation

- **NOTE** that there appear not to be any impacts on the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the CUSC

- **NOTE** the proposed timeline

CMP429: Typographical and formatting updates following the implementation of CMP376 (Inclusion of Queue Management process within the CUSC)

Milly Lewis

Background and Solution

During the course of the CMP376 implementation the following minor typographical and formatting changes were identified and using the Fast Track Self Governance route in a timely manner post implementation means that the CUSC is as consistent as possible.

CUSC Reference	Why the is change needed?
Section 1 1.2.3	A reference to Section 14 has been previously missed from Section 1 and has now been included
Section 1 1.2.4	CMP376 introduced Section 16, but this reference was not included in the approved CMP376 legal text.
Section 1 No 3 row in the Categories table on page 3	'Construction Agreement' and 'Distributed Generation' are defined terms and therefore should be in bold
Section 11 "User Progression Milestones"	'Conditional Progression Milestones' falls under a defined term and therefore the "s" at the end of 'Milestones' should be in bold
Section 16 16.3 paragraph 5	'Queue Management Process' is a defined term and therefore should be in bold.
Section 16 Milestone 3) Secure Land Rights	'OTSDUW' is a defined term and therefore should be in bold
Section 16 Milestone 7) Project Commitment and Milestone 8) Project Construction	'User's' falls under a defined term and therefore should be in bold.
Section 16 Construction Progression Milestones table on page 6	To ensure consistency throughout the document 'month' should not be capitalised.
Section 16 16.4.8	'Project' was missed before 'Milestones Remedy' as a part of the defined term so as been included in bold.
Section 16 16.6.2 (b)	'date of the" is not a defined term and therefore should not be in bold.
Schedule 2 Exhibit 3 Contents	Appendix Q full title was missed from the CMP376 legal text.
Schedule 2 Exhibit 3 16.2.2.	The CMP376 legal text placed a comma in the wrong part of the sentence.

CUSC Fast Track Criteria

"Fast Track Criteria" a **CUSC Modification Proposal** that, if implemented,

(a) would meet the **Self-Governance Criteria**; and

(b) is properly a housekeeping modification required as a result of some error or factual change, including but not limited to:

(i) updating names or addresses listed in the **CUSC**;

(ii) correcting any minor typographical errors;

(iii) correcting formatting and consistency errors, such as paragraph numbering; or

(iv) updating out of date references to other documents or paragraphs .

CUSC Self-Governance Criteria

"Self-Governance Criteria"

a **CUSC Modification Proposal** that, if implemented,

(a) is unlikely to have a material effect on:

(i) existing or future electricity consumers; and

(ii) competition in the generation, distribution, or supply of electricity or any commercial activities connected with the generation, distribution or supply of electricity; and

(iii) the operation of the **National Electricity Transmission System**; and

(iv) matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies; and

(v) the **CUSC**'s governance procedures or the **CUSC**'s modification procedures, and

(b) is unlikely to discriminate between different classes of **CUSC Parties**;

c) other than where the modification meets the **Fast Track Criteria** will not constitute an **EBGL Amendment.**

Timeline for CMP429 – Proposed Timeline – *Fast Track Self Governance*

Milestone	Date
Draft Fast Track Self-Governance Report presented to Panel	18 January 2024
Panel decision	26 January 2024
Appeals Window (15 working days)	06 February 2024 to 27 February 2024
Implementation Date	06 March 2024

CMP429 – the asks of Panel

- **AGREE** that this Modification should follow Fast Track Self-Governance Criteria (Panel decision, which must be unanimous)

- **NOTE** the proposed timeline and that this Modification will be implemented upon conclusion of the Appeals window (if no objections are received from industry)

Inflight Modification Updates

Milly Lewis, Code Administrator

CMP413: Rolling 10-year wider TNUoS generation tariffs WACM Withdrawal Discussion

RWE raised a Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification to CMP413 (WACM 2) addressing some perceived shortcomings of the CMP413 Original proposal.

High level overview of WACM2 proposal:

- Principle objective: minimise unnecessary TNUoS risk in order to minimise costs to the consumer.
- Proposal for generators to have an option to fix a profile for a generator's wider TNUoS charges for a period, fixed against a forecast profile produced by the ESO, this would be refreshed annually. This means each fix is based on the best information at the time.
- This would be open to all generators, and fixes could be for any number of whole years, from 1 to 15 (or up to a maximum length of the ESO's forecast, whichever is shorter). This optionality allows generators to take fixes in line with their own investment cycles.
- TNUoS charges would be on a fixed profile – ie. could go up and down over this period, however these fluctuations would be known in advance.
- Generators that don't opt in would remain on a "variable" TNUoS tariff, akin to today's arrangements.

Following feedback from a number of industry participants, including Ofgem, that the CMP413 Original and WACM2 proposed solutions have substantially different effects that they could be progressed as a separate modification.

Differences between CMP413 Original and CMP413 WACM2 Proposals

CMP413 Original	CMP413 WACM2
All generators receive fix	Generators have option to fix
Unforecasted methodology or network changes take 10 years to appear in charges (e.g. rezoning)	Changes appear in latest forecast every year, affecting all generators that take a fix from then onwards and those on variable tariffs.
Same fixed period for all generators (10 years)	Generators can tailor fix to own investment cycles (1-15+ years)
Cap and collar applied to forecast	Charges set as forecasted – offering reduced risk
Two generators in same location that invested at different times would face same tariff.	Two generators in same location that invested at different times could face different tariffs.

Progressing as a separate modification would:

- As it was raised after the Workgroup Consultation this would allow more development and wider input to the WACM2 proposal
- Allow greater input from the TNUoS Taskforce, such as consideration of widening the scope of the modification.
  - Currently it is limited to fixing wider tariffs, however consideration could also be given to fixing local tariffs
- Avoid delaying the progress of the CMP413 Workgroup Report currently scheduled to be issued to the February 2024 CUSC Panel

CMP413 - the asks of Panel

- **CONFIRM** whether a WACM2 proposal would have a substantially different effect to CMP413

CMP419: Generation Zoning Methodology Review Timeline Update

	Workgroup Report issued to Panel	DFMR issued to Panel	FMR issued to Ofgem
Previous timeline	23 May 2024	18 July 2024	07 August 2024
New timeline	17 October 2024	05 December 2024	23 December 2024

Rationale: Delay due to initial analysis ahead of the Workgroup Consultation

Workgroups Remaining: 7

Ask of Panel: Agree revised timeline

CMP420: Treatment of BSUoS Revenue Recovery, and creation of a BSUoS Fund Timeline Update

	Workgroup Report issued to Panel	DFMR issued to Panel	FMR issued to Ofgem
Previous timeline	14 March 2024	23 May 2024	12 June 2024
New timeline	18 April 2024	20 June 2024	15 July 2024

Rationale: An additional Workgroup meeting has been added to finalise the Workgroup Consultation.

Workgroups Remaining: 3

Ask of Panel: Agree revised timeline

CMP420: Treatment of BSUoS Revenue Recovery, and creation of a BSUoS Fund Request to change Terms of Reference

The Workgroup would like reflect the following within their Terms of Reference:

Amended Workgroup Terms of Reference
a) Consider EBR implications
b) Consider interactions with the FSO transition
c) Assess the consumer impacts of a creation of a 'BSUoS Fund', e.g. corporation tax
d) ~~Consider the implications of a 'BSUoS Fund' on the connections~~ Assess the implications of interest being applied to over/under recovery
e) Consider interactions with previous Ofgem decisions and open letters relating to BSUoS

CMP420 - the asks of Panel

- **AGREE** the amended point within Terms of Reference

Panel Tracker

Milly Lewis, Code Administrator

Discussions on Prioritisation

- **Deep-dive assessment of all Modifications that sit within the prioritisation stack**

Draft Final Modification Report

CMP286 Improving TNUoS Predictability Through Increased Notice of the Target Revenue used in the TNUoS Tariff Setting Process

Milly Lewis

Solutions

Summary of solutions:

- Original: The purpose of this modification is to improve the predictability of TNUoS demand charges by bringing forward the date at which the target revenue used in TNUoS tariff setting is fixed to allow customer prices to more accurately reflect final TNUoS rates. Target Revenue to be fixed 15 months ahead of TNUoS tariffs going live.
- WACM1: As per Original but relevant costs borne by The Company as defined in the Transmission Licence" are not locked down 15 months ahead of tariffs

Code Administrator Consultation Responses

Summary of Code Administrator Consultation Responses :

- Code Administrator Consultation was run from 29 November 2023 to 05 January 2024 and received 7 non-confidential responses and 0 confidential responses. Key points were:
  - Five out of seven respondents agree that the Original Solution and WACM1 better facilitate the Applicable CUSC Charging Objectives.
  - Majority of respondents support the Original Solution, noting it is simpler to implement.
  - One respondent noted that the original Solution places additional risk on the ESO cash flow, therefore supportive of WACM1.
  - Majority of respondents support the proposed implementation approach.
  - One respondent noted that they would be supportive of an earlier implementation date.
  - One respondent doesn't support the proposed implementation approach and recommends CMP286 should be implemented for the second year of the T3 Price Control.
  - A respondent noted that CMP286 introduces additional material risks for the Onshore TOs' Price Control arrangements.
  - Two respondents believe further work is required, which could be addressed under CMP413 'Rolling 10-year wider TNUoS generation tariffs, TNUoS Task Force and the Strategic Transmission Charging reform programme.
  - No legal text issues identified.

CMP286 - the asks of Panel

- **NOTE** that this Modification does not impact the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the CUSC?

- **VOTE** whether or not to recommend implementation

- **NOTE** next steps

CMP286 – Next Steps

Milestone	Date
Draft Final Modification Report presented to Panel	26 January 2024
Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly (5 working days)	30 January 2024 to 06 February 2024
Submission of Final Modification Report to Ofgem	08 February 2024
Ofgem decision date	By 30 September 2024 as this is a Charging Modification
Implementation Date	01 April 2026

Draft Final Modification Report

CMP315: TNUoS: Review of the expansion constant and the elements of the transmission system charged for and

CMP375: Enduring Expansion Constant & Expansion Factor Review

Milly Lewis

Summary of Solutions

	CMP315 Original	CMP375 Original	CMP375 WACM2
Works Included	Extend the scope of works used in the calculation of the Expansion Constant to include: • New Circuits - construction of a new circuit. • Circuit Reinforcements - reusing existing towers but reinforcing conductor. • Non-Circuit Reinforcements - replacement or enhancement of assets at substations. • Circuit Life Extensions - works to keep existing assets in use for longer than originally intended. Recalculate and apply an Expansion Constant (EC) value (for each circuit type as per today) applicable from the Implementation Date based on the wider scope of works. • Civils Costs - civil costs associated with overhead towers or underground cables are included, based on specific project profiles as described in STCP14-1.	As per CMP315 but excludes Non-Circuit Reinforcements - replacement or enhancement of assets at Substations.	as per CMP375 Original
Weighting Methodology	MW km years based weighting – as of today, the EC is calculated as the length weighted average cost of all relevant construction over the previous 10 years with the construction cost in each relevant year indexed by inflation to the current year. For annuitisation, split the cost of reinforcement that creates new capacity (incremental MW) and new additional life (incremental life).	As per CMP315	Each EC or EF is calculated as a weighted average of cost data based on a set of expected works (a “basket of works”). The basket of expected works will be forward-looking and based on the future works set out in the TOs price control business plans for each voltage level and circuit type. There is also the introduction of MW km to weight the costs of reinforcements. When calculating the representative basket of works, it proposes to use km weightings as this data is already produced as part of TOs regulatory reporting.
Data	Per asset class; 10 years of historic data. Use previous year's data and apply a "smoothing" factor (13% weighting factor applied per year for new build and by implication 87% for the existing build cost, after adding inflation to last year’s value for the same) to mitigate volatility and prevent sudden step changes. After a 5 year period, half of the value of the EC for a given asset class will be driven by new data across that 5 year period, and half of it will be driven by the value preceding it. The Workgroup called this a data half life of 5 years. It matches the current duration of a price control period, and is felt to reflect a reasonable compromise between stability of the cost data and cost-reflectivity, bearing in mind that a marked potential step change in 2020 was regarded as undesirable by all participants and led to the CMP353 being approved. The smoothing is intended to prevent that situation arising again.	As per CMP315	Up to 30 years of historic data (noting that only 10 years of historic data is available currently) and apply a 13% smoothing factor for all years to mitigate volatility. The calculation after year 1 is performed each year using last year’s data bundled up with the previous 10 years. Data is accumulated each year until there is 30years worth, after which it moves to a rolling 30years of data.

Code Administrator Consultation Responses

Summary of Code Administrator Consultation Responses :

- Code Administrator Consultation was run from 09/11/2023 to 15/12/2023 and received 17 non-confidential responses and 0 confidential responses. Key points were:
  - 6 of the 17 respondents felt that CMP315 better facilitated one or more of the CUSC Applicable Objectives
  - 9 of the 17 respondents felt that CMP375 Original better facilitated one or more of the CUSC Applicable Objectives
  - 6 of the 17 respondents felt that CMP375 WACM2 better facilitated one or more of the CUSC Applicable Objectives
  - 8 of the respondents felt that one of the CMP315 nor the CMP375 proposed solutions better facilitated the CUSC Applicable Objectives than the Baseline
  - No legal text issues identified.

CMP315 - the asks of Panel

- **NOTE** that this Modification does not impact the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the CUSC?

- **VOTE** whether or not to recommend implementation

- **NOTE** next steps

CMP375 - the asks of Panel

- **NOTE** that this Modification does not impact the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the CUSC?

- **VOTE** whether or not to recommend implementation

- **NOTE** next steps

CMP315 & CMP375 – Next Steps

Milestone	Date
Draft Final Modification Report presented to Panel	26 January 2024
Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly (5 working days)	30 January 2024 – 06 February 2024
Submission of Final Modification Report to Ofgem	07 February 2024
Ofgem decision date	By 30 September 2024 as these are Charging Modifications
Implementation Date	01 April 2025

Standing Groups - *Updates on all standing groups relevant to CUSC panel e.g. potential for future governance changes or modifications*

~~Governance Standing Group – Garth Graham~~

TCMF – ESO Panel Member

European Updates - *Updates on all European developments relevant to CUSC panel e.g. potential for future governance changes or modifications*

European Code Development – Nadir Hafeez

Joint European Stakeholder Group – Garth Graham

Previous meeting - 09 January 2024 Meeting materials and Headline Report
Next meeting – 13 February 2024

Updates on other industry codes

Relevant Interruptions Claim Report

(January, April, July, October)

01 October 2023 - 31 December 2023

Any Other Business

CUSC Panel (AOB)

Market-wide Half Hourly Settlement (MHHS) Programme Update

Keren Kelly

ESO

MHHS Programme Change Freeze

The MHHS Programme Change Freeze has been formalised to address instability during MHHS Programme delivery, implementation and go-live - further detail is available from the Cross Code Advisory Group

Industry changes with no impact to MHHS are not affected

Ofgem will make decisions on whether to approve external changes that may impact on the MHHS Target Operating Model (TOM)

Milestone	Target Operating Model (TOM) Change Status	External Changes that impact the MHHS TOM	External Changes that have no impact on the MHHS TOM
Pre-M9	Changes accepted to improve the Design.	Need a Programme Change Request (CR) to implement.	No impact.
Post M9	Design changes are only accepted if without which the system would not work. "Nice to haves" will go into the backlog. Only P1 and P2 defects are facilitated post M9 pre M10. P3 and P4 defects going into the backlog.	Need a Programme CR to confirm impact, and assessment as whether it meets "TOM deficiency" threshold. **Or** are strategically important that Ofgem accepts the impact on the MHHS timetable.	No impact.
Post M6	Design Change as above, but extended to Code Changes so they must meet the deficiency in the Code threshold	Need a Programme CR to confirm impact, and assessment as whether it meets to "TOM deficiency" threshold. **Or** are strategically important that Ofgem accepts the impact on the MHHS timetable.	No Impact.
Post M8 and M10	Design changes are Business As Usual (BAU) (with relevant Code Body) unless they impact the migration process. Same for Code Changes.	Design Changes are BAU with relevant Code Body unless they impact the migration process. Same for Code Changes. A Programme CR impact assessment is required against the migration process.	No impact.
Post M15	All Changes are BAU.	All Changes are BAU.	No impact.

If a CUSC Modification requires a consequential Mod that impacts on the MHHS TOM, a MHHS Change Request must be raised.

This will be impacted assessed through industry consultation and presented through MHHS governance to Ofgem for a decision.

MHHS PROGRAMME
Industry-led, Elexon facilitated

MHHS Milestones – for reference

Milestone Reference	Date	Description
M9	Oct-23	System Integration Testing Start
M6	Aug-24	Code Changes Baselined
M8	Mar-25	Code Changes Delivered
M10	Mar-25	Central systems ready for migrating MPANs
M15	Oct-26	Full transition complete

CUSC Modification(s) and MHHS

Following on from extensive discussions between Ofgem and ESO, we have been advised by Ofgem that any MHHS CUSC Modifications relating to TNUoS (mitigation of double charging/cost allocation without Measurement Class) should be raised outside the scope of the MHHS Programme – i.e. follow Standard CUSC Governance and not be progressed under the Settlement Reform Significant Code Review (SCR)

ESO plans to raise Modifications at the February CUSC Panel, but have already raised awareness at the Transmission Charging Methodologies Forum (TCMF) Forum in November and January

Objective is to ensure approval of any Modifications by Ofgem before 30th September 2024 for implementation for start of Charging Year 2025, which coincides with MHHS Migration Start date. This will ensure compliance with cut off dates for changes to CUSC Charging Methodologies, introduced by CMP292

Activities ahead of the next Panel Meeting

Transmission Charging Methodologies Forum	01 February 2024
Modification Proposals to be submitted	08 February 2024
Papers Day	15 February 2024
Panel Meeting	23 February 2024 Faraday House

Close

Trisha McAuley OBE

Independent Chair, CUSC Panel